IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Jervon R. Clark, #236671,) Civil Action No.: 2:14-cv-2067-RBH
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
C. Hindenberg, <i>Inmate Greivance Coordinator</i> , in her official capacity)) ⁽ ,)
Defendant.))

Plaintiff Jervon R. Clark, #236671 ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the above named Defendant on May 28, 2014. *See* Compl., ECF No. 1. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("R & R") of United States Magistrate Judge Wallace W. Dixon, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. *See* R & R, ECF No. 31. In the Report and Recommendation, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court dismiss this action *with prejudice* for failure to prosecute. *See id.* at 3.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

2:14-cv-02067-RBH Date Filed 01/06/15 Entry Number 34 Page 2 of 2

No party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of

objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendations. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the

absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead

must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated

by reference. Therefore, it is **ORDERED** that that this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for

failure to prosecute and comply with a court order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina

January 6, 2015

2